

Modern ConvNet Architectures



C. Szegedy et al, "Going Deeper with Convolutions" (CVPR 2015)




CSC411: Machine Learning and Data Mining, Winter 2017

Michael Guerzhoy


Convolution Layer


Filters always extend the full depth of the input volume 32x32x3 image 5x5x3 filter 32 **Convolve** the filter with the image i.e. "slide over the image spatially, computing dot products"


Convolution Layer





activation map












We stack these up to get a "new image" of size 28x28x6!

Examples time:

Input volume: **32x32x3** 10 5x5 filters with stride 1, pad 2




Number of parameters in this layer?

Examples time:

Input volume: 32x32x3

10 5x5 filters with stride 1, pad 2



Number of parameters in this layer? each filter has 5*5*3 + 1 = 76 params

(+1 for bias)

Convolutional Layer

- Accepts a volume of size $W_1 imes H_1 imes D_1$
- · Requires four hyperparameters:
 - Number of filters K,
 - their spatial extent F,
 - \circ the stride S,
 - the amount of zero padding P.
- Produces a volume of size $W_2 imes H_2 imes D_2$ where:
 - $W_2 = (W_1 F + 2P)/S + 1$
 - \circ $H_2=(H_1-F+2P)/S+1$ (i.e. width and height are computed equally by symmetry)
 - $D_2 = K$
- With parameter sharing, it introduces $F \cdot F \cdot D_1$ weights per filter, for a total of $(F \cdot F \cdot D_1) \cdot K$ weights and K biases.
- In the output volume, the d-th depth slice (of size $W_2 \times H_2$) is the result of performing a valid convolution of the d-th filter over the input volume with a stride of S, and then offset by d-th bias.

Convolutional Layers Summary Again

Summary. To summarize, the Conv Layer:


- Accepts a volume of size $W_1 imes H_1 imes D_1$
- · Requires four hyperparameters:
 - Number of filters K,
 - \circ their spatial extent F,
 - the stride S,
 - \circ the amount of zero padding P.


Common settings:

```
K = (powers of 2, e.g. 32, 64, 128, 512)
F = 3, S = 1, P = 1
F = 5, S = 1, P = 2
F = 5, S = 2, P = ? (whatever fits)
F = 1, S = 1, P = 0
```


- Produces a volume of size $W_2 imes H_2 imes D_2$ where:
 - $W_2 = (W_1 F + 2P)/S + 1$
 - $\circ H_2 = (H_1 F + 2P)/S + 1$ (i.e. width and height are computed equally by symmetry)
 - $D_2 = K$
- With parameter sharing, it introduces $F \cdot F \cdot D_1$ weights per filter, for a total of $(F \cdot F \cdot D_1) \cdot K$ weights and K biases.
- In the output volume, the d-th depth slice (of size $W_2 \times H_2$) is the result of performing a valid convolution of the d-th filter over the input volume with a stride of S, and then offset by d-th bias.

(1x1 convolutions?)






Feature visualization of convolutional net trained on ImageNet from [Zeiler & Fergus 2013]



Pooling Layer

- makes the representations smaller and more manageable
- operates over each activation map independently:



Pooling Layer

Common settings:

- Accepts a volume of size $W_1 imes H_1 imes D_1$
- Requires three hyperparameters:
 - their spatial extent F,
 - \circ the stride S,
- Produces a volume of size $W_2 imes H_2 imes D_2$ where:

$$W_2 = (W_1 - F)/S + 1$$

$$H_2 = (H_1 - F)/S + 1$$

$$Ooldsymbol{0} O D_2 = D_1$$


- Introduces zero parameters since it computes a fixed function of the input
- Note that it is not common to use zero-padding for Pooling layers

$$F = 2, S = 2$$


 $F = 3, S = 2$

Fully-Connected Layer

 Contains neurons that connect to the entire lower year, as in ordinary neural networks



LeNet-5 (Yann LeCun et al, 1998)




Conv filters were 5x5, applied at stride 1 Subsampling (Pooling) layers were 2x2 applied at stride 2 i.e. architecture is [CONV-POOL-CONV-POOL-CONV-FC]

LeNet-5 errors




LeNet Performance



IM → GENET Large Scale Visual Recognition Challenge


- About one million images, 1000 object categories in the training set
- Task: what is the object in the image
 - I.e., classify the image into one of 1000 categories
- Evaluation: is one of the best 5 guesses correct?




Human Performance on ImageNet

- http://karpathy.github.io/2014/09/02/what-ilearned-from-competing-against-a-convnet-onimagenet/
- 5.1% error (i.e., none of the 5 guesses the person makes are correct)
- Try it yourself!
 - http://cs.stanford.edu/people/karpathy/ilsvrc/

Dogs Are Hard to Classify!



AlexNet (Krizhevsky et al. 2012)



Full (simplified) AlexNet architecture:

[227x227x3] INPUT

[55x55x96] CONV1: 96 11x11 filters at stride 4, pad 0

[27x27x96] MAX POOL1: 3x3 filters at stride 2

[27x27x96] NORM1: Normalization layer

[27x27x256] CONV2: 256 5x5 filters at stride 1, pad 2

[13x13x256] MAX POOL2: 3x3 filters at stride 2

[13x13x256] NORM2: Normalization layer

[13x13x384] CONV3: 384 3x3 filters at stride 1, pad 1 [13x13x384] CONV4: 384 3x3 filters at stride 1, pad 1

[13x13x256] CONV5: 256 3x3 filters at stride 1, pad 1

[6x6x256] MAX POOL3: 3x3 filters at stride 2

[4096] FC6: 4096 neurons

[4096] FC7: 4096 neurons

[1000] FC8: 1000 neurons (class scores)


Details/Retrospectives:

- first use of ReLU
- used Norm layers (not common anymore)
- heavy data augmentation
- dropout 0.5
- batch size 128
- SGD Momentum 0.9
- Learning rate 1e-2, reduced by 10 manually when val accuracy plateaus
- L2 weight decay 5e-4
- 7 CNN ensemble: 18.2% -> 15.4\%23

GoogLeNet (Szegedy et al, 2014)


- 6.7% error on ImageNet
 - State of the Art (at the time)
 - Close to human performance
- A very deep net
- Several Neat Tricks

A Heterogeneous Set of Convolutions




- Apply filters of several sizes so as to capture invariances at different scales
- Concatenate all the filters
- (Note: could always use 5x5 filters, but that's expensive, and hard to learn)

Inception Module: Basic Idea (doesn't work, too many features)



- Do max-pooling directly too in case convolution not needed
- Super expensive if we want a decent number of filters in each layer

Inception Module




Inception Module

 The 1x1 convolutions at the bottom of the module reduce the number of inputs by a factor of

$$\frac{depth\ of\ input\ layer}{N.\ of\ 1x1\ convolutions}$$

Decreases computation cost dramatically

Inception




9 Inception modules


Network in a network in a network...

Convolution
Pooling
Softmax
Other

Look Closer




- Softmax outputs in the middle of the network, the same as at the top
 - Encourage the network to learn features that are useful for classification in the middle



Width of inception modules ranges from 256 filters (in early modules) to 1024 in top inception modules.

Can remove fully connected layers on top completely




Width of inception modules ranges from 256 filters (in early modules) to 1024 in top inception modules.

Computional cost is increased by Can remove fully connected layers on top completelyess than 2X compared to

Krizhevsky's network. (<1.5Bn

Number of parameters is reduced to 5 million

Operations/evaluation)



Aside: ConvNets vs. Monkeys

- Extract the features (neuron activities) from the Inferior Temporal Cortex of Rhesus Macaques when the monkeys are looking at images
- Extract features from the top layers of ConvNets when the ConvNets are looking at images
- Use both sets of features to classify images

Deep Neural Networks Rival the Representation of Primate IT Cortex for Core Visual Object Recognition

Charles F. Cadieu^{1,*}, Ha Hong^{1,2}, Daniel L. K. Yamins¹, Nicolas Pinto¹, Diego Ardila¹, Ethan A. Solomon¹, Najib J. Majaj¹, James J. DiCarlo¹

- 1 Department of Brain and Cognitive Sciences and McGovern Institute for Brain Research, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139
- 2 Harvard-MIT Division of Health Sciences and Technology, Institute for Medical Engineering and Science, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139 * E-mail: Corresponding cadieu@mit.edu

