Holistic Scene Understanding for 3D Object Detection with RGB-D cameras

Dahua Lin, Sanja Fidler, Raquel Urtasun

TTI Chicago



• Goal: Category-level 3D object detection

maybe bathroom, maybe kitchen



• Goal: Category-level 3D object detection



D. Lin, S. Fidler, R. Urtasun

• Goal: Category-level 3D object detection



D. Lin, S. Fidler, R. Urtasun







• Goal: Category-level 3D object detection



D. Lin, S. Fidler, R. Urtasun







3D object detection in RGB-D images

- Exploit RGBD imagery for category-level 3D object detection
- Holistic approach: jointly reason about scene, objects, and contextual relations

image



depth





point cloud with cuboids around objects

Difficult problem?





Occlusion



Viewpoint, aspect-ratio variation



D. Lin, S. Fidler, R. Urtasun

Related Work

Holistic models

- Objects, layout: Lee'10 [16], Hedau'10 & '12 [10, 11], Schwing'13 [22]
- Blocks: Gupta'10 [7]

Monocular 3D detection

- Viewpoint: Pepik'12 [19], Sun'10 [25], Liebelt'10 [17]
- Cuboids/polyhedra: Brooks'83 [1], Hedau'10 [10], Lee'10 [16], Fidler'12 [5], Xiang'12 [27]

RGB-D segmentation

• Koppula'11 [14], Silberman'12 [24], Gupta'13 [8]

RGB-D detection

• 2D detector + depth: Gould'08 [6], Walk'10 [26], Saenko'11 [21], Lai'11 [15]

Cuboid generation (no class)

• Jiang'13 [13], Jia'13 [12]



Lee et al., 2010



Hedau et al., 2010



Jiang & Xiao, 2013

15 / 29

Overview

- Rotate the point-cloud to canonical orientation
- Estimate the floor and wall planes



canonical orientation

Overview

- Rotate the point-cloud to canonical orientation
- Estimate the floor and wall planes
- Generate candidate cuboids
- A holistic CRF reasoning about scene and objects, their geometric properties and spatial/semantic relations





canonical orientation

estimated walls

D. Lin, S. Fidler, R. Urtasun

3D detection in RGB-D scenes

Overview

- Rotate the point-cloud to canonical orientation
- Estimate the floor and wall planes
- Generate candidate cuboids
- A holistic CRF reasoning about scene and objects, their geometric properties and spatial/semantic relations



canonical orientation



estimated walls



top 15 candidates

Cuboid Candidates

- Get candidate "objectness" regions with CPMC [Carreira et al., PAMI 2012 [3]] which we extend to 3D
- Take top K candidates ranked by objectness score
- Project each region to 3D



example regions

Cuboid Candidates

- Get candidate "objectness" regions with CPMC [Carreira et al., PAMI 2012 [3]] which we extend to 3D
- Take top K candidates ranked by objectness score
- Project each region to 3D
- Fit a minimal cube that contains 95% of the 3D points
- Enforce the gravity vector of each cube to be orthogonal to the floor





example regions

regions in 3D

D. Lin, S. Fidler, R. Urtasun

3D detection in RGB-D scenes

Cuboid Candidates

- Get candidate "objectness" regions with CPMC [Carreira et al., PAMI 2012 [3]] which we extend to 3D
- Take top K candidates ranked by objectness score
- Project each region to 3D
- Fit a minimal cube that contains 95% of the 3D points
- Enforce the gravity vector of each cube to be orthogonal to the floor







fit cuboids

example regions

D. Lin, S. Fidler, R. Urtasun 3D de

regions in 3D 3D detection in RGB-D scenes

Holistic 3D Scene Model

$$p(\mathbf{y}, s) \propto \exp\left(\mathbf{w}_{s}^{\mathsf{T}} \phi_{s}(s) + \mathbf{w}_{y}^{\mathsf{T}} \sum_{i=1}^{K} \phi_{y}(y_{i}) + \mathbf{w}_{yy}^{\mathsf{T}} \sum_{(i,j)} \phi_{yy}(y_{i}, y_{j}) + \mathbf{w}_{sy}^{\mathsf{T}} \sum_{i=1}^{K} \phi_{sy}(s, y_{i})\right)$$

cuboid class: $y_i \in \{0, \dots, C\}$ scene class: $s \in \{1, \dots, S\}$

Unary:

- appearance
- geometry

Pairwise:

- spatial relations
- semantic relations



• Scene appearance: Classifier on RGB-D features

• **Ranking potential:** Predicts amount of overlap of object candidate with ground-truth [CPMC-o2p, Carreira et al., 2012 [2]]

- RGB: gradient, color, LBP, self-similarity, SIFT
- Depth: depth gradient, spin/surface normal

- Scene appearance: Classifier on RGB-D features
- **Ranking potential:** Predicts amount of overlap of object candidate with ground-truth [CPMC-o2p, Carreira et al., 2012 [2]]
- Segmentation potential: Classifier on superpixels using RGB-D kernel descriptors [Ren et al., 2012 [20]]

- RGB: gradient, color, LBP, self-similarity, SIFT
- Depth: depth gradient, spin/surface normal

- Scene appearance: Classifier on RGB-D features
- **Ranking potential:** Predicts amount of overlap of object candidate with ground-truth [CPMC-o2p, Carreira et al., 2012 [2]]
- Segmentation potential: Classifier on superpixels using RGB-D kernel descriptors [Ren et al., 2012 [20]]
- Object geometry: Classifier on geometric features

- RGB: gradient, color, LBP, self-similarity, SIFT
- Depth: depth gradient, spin/surface normal

- Scene appearance: Classifier on RGB-D features
- **Ranking potential:** Predicts amount of overlap of object candidate with ground-truth [CPMC-o2p, Carreira et al., 2012 [2]]
- Segmentation potential: Classifier on superpixels using RGB-D kernel descriptors [Ren et al., 2012 [20]]
- Object geometry: Classifier on geometric features



Geometry features:

- RGB: gradient, color, LBP, self-similarity, SIFT
- Depth: depth gradient, spin/surface normal

Pairwise potentials

Semantic context:

scene-object potential:

 $\phi_{sy}(s = k, y = l) = \text{scene-object co-occurrence stats}$

object-object potential

 $\phi_{yy}(y = l, y' = l') = \text{object-object co-occurrence stats}$

Geometric relations:

- **close-to**: Two objects are *close to* each other if their distance is less than 0.5 meters.
- **on-top-of**: Object *A* is *on top of B* if *A* is higher than *B* and (at least) 80% of *A*'s bottom face is contained within the top face of *B*.

Learning and Inference

- Loss: how far from GT is each hypothesis
 - \bullet Object: 0/1 loss based on IOU with GT
 - Scene: 0/1 loss
- Learning: Primal dual method blending learning and inference [Hazan and Urtasun, NIPS 2010 [9]]
- Inference: Distributed message passing [Schwing et al., CVPR 2011 [23]]
- Timings:
 - learning takes 2 minutes (\sim 800 images)
 - inference takes 15 ms per image (15 cuboids per image)

On Intel i7 quad-core CPU (4 threads)

Experimental Results

- NYUv2 [Silberman et al, 2012]: 1449 scenes, 6680 objects, 21 object classes + background
- Ground truth: Fit 3D cuboids around GT regions and correct bad fits
- Standard split: 60% of images used for training and 40% for test



Results on GT cuboids

- Performance of scene measured in classification accuracy
- Performance evaluated on GT cuboids, measured as classification accuracy

configuration	object	scene
scene appearance only	-	55.20
segmentation only	54.46	-
geometry only	42.85	-
all unaries	59.02	55.20
unaries + scene-obj	60.00	57.65
full model	60.49	58.72



Our Full Detection Pipeline

- Performance measured as average of per-class F-measures
- DPM: [Felzenswalb et al., TPAMI, 2010 [4]]
- Jiang'13: Cuboids from [H. Jiang and J. Xiao, CVPR, 2013 [13]]

	DPM	seg.	seg.+geo.	all unaries	+scene-object	full model
[Jiang'13]	-	11.11	21.13	21.90	22.19	22.3
K = 8	8.01	28.98	30.22	35.17	35.18	35.23
K = 15	6.54	28.33	29.44	34.92	34.95	35.56
K = 30	4.96	24.81	25.58	32.54	32.57	33.10



Example detections



D. Lin, S. Fidler, R. Urtasun

3D detection in RGB-D scenes

Summary and Conclusion

Summary and Conclusion:

- A new 3D holistic model that reasons about the scene and objects of multiple classes in indoor RGB-D scenes
- Experiments demonstrated that our approach significantly outperforms state-of-the-art detectors

Future work:

- Segmentation, 3D detection, support
- Apartment model: large 3D space & video, lots of objects & classes

Code and data available here:

http://www.cs.utoronto.ca/~fidler/projects/scenes3D.html

Full paper [18]:

http://www.cs.utoronto.ca/~fidler/papers/lin_et_al_iccv13.pdf

Bibliography I

- Rodney A. Brooks. Model-based three-dimensional interpretations of two-dimensional images. PAMI, 5:140–150, 1983.
- [2] J. Carreira, R. Caseiroa, J. Batista, and C. Sminchisescu. Semantic segmentation with second-order pooling. In ECCV, 2012.
- [3] J. Carreira and C. Sminchisescu. Cpmc: Automatic object segmentation using constrained parametric min-cuts. *TPAMI*, 2012.
- [4] P. Felzenszwalb, R. Girshick, D. McAllester, and D. Ramanan. Object detection with discriminatively trained part based models. *PAMI*, 32(9), 2010.
- [5] Sanja Fidler, Sven Dickinson, and Raquel Urtasun. 3d object detection and viewpoint estimation with a deformable 3d cuboid model. In *NIPS*, 2012.
- [6] Stephen Gould, Paul Baumstarck, Morgan Quigley, Andrew Y. Ng, and Daphne Koller. Integrating visual and range data for robotic object detection. In ECCV w. on S. Fusion Alg. & Appl., 2008.
- [7] Abhinav Gupta, Alexei A. Efros, and Martial Hebert. Blocks world revisited: Image understanding using qualitative geometry and mechanics. In ECCV, 2010.
- [8] S. Gupta, P. Arbelaez, and J. Malik. Perceptual organization and recognition of indoor scenes from rgbd images. In CVPR, 2013.
- [9] T. Hazan and R. Urtasun. A primal-dual message-passing algorithm for approximated large scale structured prediction. In *NIPS*, 2010.

Bibliography II

- [10] V. Hedau, D. Hoiem, and D.A. Forsyth. Thinking inside the box: Using appearance models and context based on room geometry. In ECCV, 2010.
- [11] Varsha Hedau, Derek Hoiem, and David Forsyth. Recovering free space of indoor scenes from a single image. In CVPR, 2012.
- [12] Z. Jia, A. Gallagher, A. Saxena, and T. Chen. 3d-based reasoning with blocks, support, and stability. In CVPR, 2013.
- [13] H. Jiang and J. Xiao. A linear approach to matching cuboids in rgbd images. In CVPR, 2013.
- [14] Hema Koppula, Abhishek Anand, Thorsten Joachims, and Ashutosh Saxena. Semantic labeling of 3d point clouds for indoor scenes. In NIPS, 2011.
- [15] K. Lai, L. Bo, X. Ren, and D. Fox. A large-scale hierarchical multi-view rgb-d object dataset. In *ICRA*, 2011.
- [16] David C. Lee, Abhinav Gupta, Martial Hebert, and Takeo Kanade. Estimating spatial layout of rooms using volumetric reasoning about objects and surfaces. In NIPS, 2010.
- [17] Jorg Liebelt and Cordelia Schmid. Multi-view object class detection with a 3d geometric model. In CVPR, pages 1688–1695, 2010.
- [18] Dahua Lin, Sanja Fidler, and Raquel Urtasun. Holistic scene understanding for 3d object detection with rgbd cameras. In *ICCV*, 2013.

Bibliography III

- [19] Bojan Pepik, Michael Stark, Peter Gehler, and Bernt Schiele. Teaching 3d geometry to deformable part models. In Serge Belongie, Andrew Blake, Jiebo Luo, and Alan Yuille, editors, CVPR, 2012.
- [20] Xiaofeng Ren, Liefeng Bo, and Dieter Fox. Rgb-(d) scene labeling: Features and algorithms. In CVPR, 2012.
- [21] K. Saenko, Y. Jia, M. Fritz, J. Long, A. Janoch, A. Shyr, S. Karayev, and T. Darrell. Practical 3-d object detection using category and instance-level appearance models. In *IROS*, 2011.
- [22] A. Schwing, S. Fidler, M. Pollefeys, and R. Urtasun. Box in the box: Joint 3d layout and object reasoning from single images. In *ICCV*, 2013.
- [23] A. Schwing, T. Hazan, M. Pollefeys, and R. Urtasun. Distributed message passing for large scale graphical models. In CVPR, 2011.
- [24] N. Silberman, P. Kohli, D. Hoiem, and R. Fergus. Indoor segmentation and support inference from rgbd images. In ECCV, 2012.
- [25] Min Sun, Hao Su, Silvio Savarese, and Li Fei-Fei. A multi-view probabilistic model for 3d object classes. In CVPR, 2009.
- [26] S. Walk, K. Schindler, and B. Schiele. Disparity statistics for pedestrian detection: Combining appearance, motion and stereo. In ECCV, 2010.
- [27] Yu Xiang and Silvio Savarese. Estimating the aspect layout of object categories. In CVPR, 2012.