

# SQL: Queries, Programming, Triggers

## Example Instances

<b>R1</b>	<u>sid</u>	<u>bid</u>	<u>day</u>
	22	101	10/10/96
	58	103	11/12/96

- ❖ We will use these instances of the Sailors and Reserves relations in our examples.

<b>S1</b>	<u>sid</u>	sname	rating	age
	22	dustin	7	45.0
	31	lubber	8	55.5
	58	rusty	10	35.0

- ❖ If the key for the Reserves relation contained only the attributes *sid* and *bid*, how would the semantics differ?

<b>S2</b>	<u>sid</u>	sname	rating	age
	28	yuppy	9	35.0
	31	lubber	8	55.5
	44	guppy	5	35.0
	58	rusty	10	35.0

## Basic SQL Query

SELECT	[DISTINCT] <i>target-list</i>
FROM	<i>relation-list</i>
WHERE	<i>qualification</i>

- ❖ *relation-list* A list of relation names (possibly with a *range-variable* after each name).
- ❖ *target-list* A list of attributes of relations in *relation-list*
- ❖ *qualification* Comparisons (Attr *op* const or Attr1 *op* Attr2, where *op* is one of  $<, >, =, \neq, \leq, \geq, \#ke$ ) combined using AND, OR and NOT.
- ❖ DISTINCT is an optional keyword indicating that the answer should not contain duplicates. Default is that duplicates are not eliminated!

## Conceptual Evaluation Strategy

- ❖ Semantics of an SQL query defined in terms of the following conceptual evaluation strategy:
  - Compute the cross-product of *relation-list*.
  - Discard resulting tuples if they fail *qualifications*.
  - Delete attributes that are not in *target-list*.
  - If DISTINCT is specified, eliminate duplicate rows.
- ❖ This strategy is probably the least efficient way to compute a query! An optimizer will find more efficient strategies to compute *the same answers*.

## *Conceptual Evaluation Strategy*

❖ Semantics of an SQL query based on R.A:

```
SELECT R.A,S.B
FROM R, S
WHERE R.C=S.C
```

=====>

$\Pi_{R.A,S.B} \sigma_{R.C=S.C} (R \times S)$

## *Example of Conceptual Evaluation*

```
SELECT S.sname
FROM Sailors S, Reserves R ---->range variable
WHERE S.sid=R.sid AND R.bid=103
```

(sid)	sname	rating	age	(sid)	bid	day
22	dustin	7	45.0	22	101	10/10/96
22	dustin	7	45.0	58	103	11/12/96
31	lubber	8	55.5	22	101	10/10/96
31	lubber	8	55.5	58	103	11/12/96
58	rusty	10	35.0	22	101	10/10/96
58	rusty	10	35.0	58	103	11/12/96

## *A Note on Range Variables*

- ❖ Really needed only if the same relation appears twice in the FROM clause. The previous query can also be written as:

```
SELECT S.sname
FROM Sailors S, Reserves R
WHERE S.sid=R.sid AND bid=103
```

OR

```
SELECT sname
FROM Sailors, Reserves
WHERE Sailors.sid=Reserves.sid
AND bid=103
```

*It is good style,  
however, to use  
range variables  
always!*

## *Find sailors who've reserved at least one boat*

```
SELECT S.sid
FROM Sailors S, Reserves R
WHERE S.sid=R.sid
```

- ❖ Would adding DISTINCT to this query make a difference?
- ❖ What is the effect of replacing *S.sid* by *S.sname* in the SELECT clause? Would adding DISTINCT to this variant of the query make a difference?.

## Expressions and Strings

```
SELECT S.age, age1=S.age-5, 2*S.age AS age2
FROM Sailors S
WHERE S.sname LIKE 'B_%B'
```

- ❖ Illustrates use of arithmetic expressions and string pattern matching: *Find triples (of ages of sailors and two fields defined by expressions) for sailors whose names begin and end with B and contain at least three characters.*
- ❖ AS and = are two ways to name fields in result.
- ❖ LIKE is used for string matching. `\_' stands for any one character and `%` stands for 0 or more arbitrary characters.

### *Find sid's of sailors who've reserved a red or a green boat*

- ❖ UNION: Can be used to compute the union of any two *union-compatible* sets of tuples (which are themselves the result of SQL queries).
- ❖ If we replace **OR** by **AND** in the first version, what do we get?
- ❖ Also available: EXCEPT (What do we get if we replace UNION by EXCEPT?)

```
SELECT S.sid
FROM Sailors S, Boats B, Reserves R
WHERE S.sid=R.sid AND R.bid=B.bid
AND (B.color='red' OR B.color='green')
```

```
SELECT S.sid
FROM Sailors S, Boats B, Reserves R
WHERE S.sid=R.sid AND R.bid=B.bid
AND B.color='red'
```

```
UNION
SELECT S.sid
FROM Sailors S, Boats B, Reserves R
WHERE S.sid=R.sid AND R.bid=B.bid
AND B.color='green'
```

*Find sid's of sailors who've reserved a red and a green boat*

- ❖ INTERSECT: Can be used to compute the intersection of any two *union-compatible* sets of tuples.
  - ❖ Included in the SQL/92 standard, **but some systems don't support it.**
- ```
SELECT S.sid
FROM Sailors S, Boats B1, Reserves R1,
     Boats B2, Reserves R2
WHERE S.sid=R1.sid AND R1.bid=B1.bid
     AND S.sid=R2.sid AND R2.bid=B2.bid
     AND (B1.color='red' AND B2.color='green')
```
- ```
SELECT S.sid      Key field!
FROM Sailors S, Boats B, Reserves R
WHERE S.sid=R.sid AND R.bid=B.bid
     AND B.color='red'
```
- ```
INTERSECT
SELECT S.sid
FROM Sailors S, Boats B, Reserves R
WHERE S.sid=R.sid AND R.bid=B.bid
     AND B.color='green'
```

## *Nested Queries*

*Find names of sailors who've reserved boat #103:*


```
SELECT S.sname
FROM Sailors S
WHERE S.sid IN (SELECT R.sid
               FROM Reserves R
               WHERE R.bid=103)
```

- ❖ A very powerful feature of SQL: a WHERE clause can itself contain an SQL query! (Actually, so can FROM and HAVING clauses, **not supported by all systems.**)
- ❖ To find sailors who've **not** reserved #103, use **NOT IN**.
- ❖ To understand semantics of nested queries, think of a *nested loops* evaluation: *For each Sailors tuple, check the qualification by computing the subquery.*

## Nested Queries with Correlation

Find names of sailors who've reserved boat #103:

```
SELECT S.sname
FROM Sailors S
WHERE EXISTS (SELECT *
              FROM Reserves R
              WHERE R.bid=103 AND S.sid=R.sid)
```



- ❖ **EXISTS** is another set comparison operator, like **IN**.
- ❖ If **UNIQUE** is used, and \* is replaced by *R.bid*, finds sailors with *at most one reservation for boat #103*. (UNIQUE checks for duplicate tuples; \* denotes all attributes. **Why do we have to replace \* by *R.bid*?**)
- ❖ Illustrates why, in general, subquery must be re-computed for each Sailors tuple.

## More on Set-Comparison Operators

- ❖ We've already seen **IN**, **EXISTS** and **UNIQUE**. Can also use **NOT IN**, **NOT EXISTS** and **NOT UNIQUE**.
- ❖ Also available: *op* ANY, *op* ALL, *op* IN  $>$ ,  $<$ ,  $=$ ,  $\geq$ ,  $\leq$ ,  $\neq$
- ❖ Find sailors whose rating is greater than that of some sailor called Horatio:

```
SELECT *
FROM Sailors S
WHERE S.rating > ANY (SELECT S2.rating
                    FROM Sailors S2
                    WHERE S2.sname='Horatio')
```

## Rewriting INTERSECT Queries Using IN

Find *sid*'s of sailors who've reserved both a red and a green boat:

```
SELECT S.sid
FROM Sailors S, Boats B, Reserves R
WHERE S.sid=R.sid AND R.bid=B.bid AND B.color='red'
      AND S.sid IN (SELECT S2.sid
                    FROM Sailors S2, Boats B2, Reserves R2
                    WHERE S2.sid=R2.sid AND R2.bid=B2.bid
                    AND B2.color='green')
```

- ❖ Similarly, EXCEPT queries re-written using NOT IN.
- ❖ To find *names* (not *sid*'s) of Sailors who've reserved both red and green boats, just replace *S.sid* by *S.sname* in SELECT clause. **(What about INTERSECT query?)**

## Division in SQL

Find sailors who've reserved all boats.

- ❖ Let's do it the hard way, without EXCEPT:

(2) SELECT S.sname

FROM Sailors S

WHERE NOT EXISTS (SELECT B.bid  
FROM Boats B

*Sailors S such that ...*

*there is no boat B without ...*

*a Reserves tuple showing S reserved B*

(1) SELECT S.sname  
FROM Sailors S  
WHERE NOT EXISTS  
((SELECT B.bid  
FROM Boats B)  
EXCEPT  
(SELECT R.bid  
FROM Reserves R  
WHERE R.sid=S.sid))

# Aggregate Operators

- ❖ Significant extension of relational algebra.

```
COUNT (*)
COUNT ( [DISTINCT] A)
SUM ( [DISTINCT] A)
AVG ( [DISTINCT] A)
MAX (A)
MIN (A)
```

*single column*

```
SELECT COUNT (*)
FROM Sailors S
```

```
SELECT S.sname
FROM Sailors S
```

```
SELECT AVG (S.age)
FROM Sailors S
WHERE S.rating=10
```

```
WHERE S.rating= (SELECT MAX (S2.rating)
FROM Sailors S2)
```

```
SELECT COUNT (DISTINCT S.rating)
FROM Sailors S
WHERE S.sname='Bob'
```

```
SELECT AVG (DISTINCT S.age)
FROM Sailors S
WHERE S.rating=10
```

## Find name and age of the oldest sailor(s)

- ❖ The first query is illegal! (We'll look into the reason a bit later, when we discuss GROUP BY.)
- ❖ The third query is equivalent to the second query, and is allowed in the SQL/92 standard, but is not supported in some systems.

```
SELECT S.sname, MAX (S.age)
FROM Sailors S
```

```
SELECT S.sname, S.age
FROM Sailors S
WHERE S.age =
(SELECT MAX (S2.age)
FROM Sailors S2)
```

```
SELECT S.sname, S.age
FROM Sailors S
WHERE (SELECT MAX (S2.age)
FROM Sailors S2)
= S.age
```

## *GROUP BY and HAVING*

- ❖ So far, we've applied aggregate operators to all (qualifying) tuples. Sometimes, we want to apply them to each of several *groups* of tuples.
- ❖ Consider: *Find the age of the youngest sailor for each rating level.*
  - In general, we don't know how many rating levels exist, and what the rating values for these levels are!
  - Suppose we know that rating values go from 1 to 10; we can write 10 queries that look like this (!):

```
For  $i = 1, 2, \dots, 10$ :  
SELECT MIN (S.age)  
FROM Sailors S  
WHERE S.rating =  $i$ 
```

## *Queries With GROUP BY and HAVING*

```
SELECT [DISTINCT] target-list  
FROM relation-list  
WHERE qualification  
GROUP BY grouping-list  
HAVING group-qualification
```

- ❖ The *target-list* contains (i) attribute names (ii) terms with aggregate operations (e.g., MIN (*S.age*)).
  - The attribute list (i) must be a subset of *grouping-list*. Intuitively, each answer tuple corresponds to a *group*, and these attributes must have a single value per group. (A *group* is a set of tuples that have the same value for all attributes in *grouping-list*.)

*Find the age of the youngest sailor with age  $\geq 18$ , for each rating with at least 2 such sailors*

```
SELECT S.rating, MIN (S.age)
FROM Sailors S
WHERE S.age >= 18
GROUP BY S.rating
HAVING COUNT (*) > 1
```

| sid | sname   | rating | age  |
|-----|---------|--------|------|
| 22  | dustin  | 7      | 45.0 |
| 31  | lubber  | 8      | 55.5 |
| 71  | zorba   | 10     | 16.0 |
| 64  | horatio | 7      | 35.0 |
| 29  | brutus  | 1      | 33.0 |
| 58  | rusty   | 10     | 35.0 |

- ❖ Only S.rating and S.age are mentioned in the SELECT, GROUP BY or HAVING clauses;
- ❖ 2nd column of result is unnamed. (Use AS to name it.)

| rating | age  |
|--------|------|
| 1      | 33.0 |
| 7      | 45.0 |
| 7      | 35.0 |
| 8      | 55.5 |
| 10     | 35.0 |

rating

7 35.0

*Answer relation*

*For each red boat, find the number of reservations for this boat*

```
SELECT B.bid, COUNT (*) AS scout
FROM Sailors S, Boats B, Reserves R
WHERE S.sid=R.sid AND R.bid=B.bid AND B.color='red'
GROUP BY B.bid
```

- ❖ Grouping over a join of three relations.

*Find the age of the youngest sailor with age > 18,  
for each rating with at least 2 sailors (of any age)*

```
SELECT S.rating, MIN (S.age)
FROM Sailors S
WHERE S.age > 18
GROUP BY S.rating
HAVING 1 < (SELECT COUNT (*)
            FROM Sailors S2
            WHERE S.rating=S2.rating)
```

- ❖ Shows HAVING clause can also contain a subquery.
- ❖ Compare this with the query where we considered only ratings with 2 sailors over 18!

*Find those ratings for which the average  
age is the minimum over all ratings*

- ❖ Aggregate operations cannot be nested!
- ❖ Correct solution (in SQL/92):

```
SELECT Temp.rating, Temp.avgage
FROM (SELECT S.rating, AVG (S.age) AS avgage
      FROM Sailors S
      GROUP BY S.rating) AS Temp
WHERE Temp.avgage = (SELECT MIN (Temp.avgage)
                    FROM Temp)
```

## *Null Values*

- ❖ Field values in a tuple are sometimes *unknown* (e.g., a rating has not been assigned) or *inapplicable* (e.g., no spouse's name).
  - SQL provides a special value *null* for such situations.
- ❖ The presence of *null* complicates many issues. E.g.:
  - Special operators needed to check if value is/is not *null*.
  - Is *rating* > 8 true or false when *rating* is equal to *null*? What about AND, OR and NOT connectives?
  - We need a 3-valued logic (true, false and *unknown*).
  - Meaning of constructs must be defined carefully. (e.g., WHERE clause eliminates rows that don't evaluate to true.)
  - New operators (in particular, *outer joins*) possible/needed.

## *Integrity Constraints (Review)*

- ❖ An IC describes conditions that every *legal instance* of a relation must satisfy.
  - Inserts/deletes/updates that violate IC's are disallowed.
  - Can be used to ensure application semantics (e.g., *sid* is a key), or prevent inconsistencies (e.g., *sname* has to be a string, *age* must be < 200)
- ❖ *Types of IC's*: Domain constraints, primary key constraints, foreign key constraints, general constraints.
  - *Domain constraints*: Field values must be of right type. Always enforced.

## General Constraints

- ❖ Useful when more general ICs than keys are involved.
- ❖ Can use queries to express constraint.
- ❖ Constraints can be named.

```
CREATE TABLE Sailors
( sid INTEGER,
  sname CHAR(10),
  rating INTEGER,
  age REAL,
  PRIMARY KEY (sid),
  CHECK ( rating >= 1
        AND rating <= 10 )
)

CREATE TABLE Reserves
( sname CHAR(10),
  bid INTEGER,
  day DATE,
  PRIMARY KEY (bid,day),
  CONSTRAINT noInterlakeRes
  CHECK ('Interlake' <>
        ( SELECT B.bname
          FROM Boats B
          WHERE B.bid=bid)))
```

## Constraints Over Multiple Relations

- ❖ Awkward and wrong!
- ❖ If Sailors is empty, the number of Boats tuples can be anything!
- ❖ ASSERTION is the right solution; not associated with either table.

```
CREATE TABLE Sailors
( sid INTEGER,
  sname CHAR(10),
  rating INTEGER,
  age REAL,
  PRIMARY KEY (sid)
)

CREATE ASSERTION smallClub
CHECK
( (SELECT COUNT (S.sid) FROM Sailors S)
  + (SELECT COUNT (B.bid) FROM Boats B) < 100
```

*Number of boats  
plus number of  
sailors is < 100*

## *Triggers*

- ❖ Trigger: procedure that starts automatically if specified changes occur to the DBMS
- ❖ Three parts (ECA rules):
  - Event (activates the trigger)
  - Condition (tests whether the triggers should run)
  - Action (what happens if the trigger runs)

## *Triggers: Example (SQL:1999)*

```
CREATE TRIGGER youngSailorUpdate
  AFTER INSERT ON SAILORS
  REFERENCING NEW TABLE NewSailors
  FOR EACH STATEMENT
  INSERT
    INTO YoungSailors(sid, name, age, rating)
  SELECT sid, name, age, rating
  FROM NewSailors N
  WHERE N.age <= 18
```

## *Summary*

- ❖ SQL was an important factor in the early acceptance of the relational model; more natural than earlier, procedural query languages.
- ❖ Relationally complete; in fact, significantly more expressive power than relational algebra.
- ❖ Even queries that can be expressed in RA can often be expressed more naturally in SQL.
- ❖ Many alternative ways to write a query; optimizer should look for most efficient evaluation plan.
  - In practice, users need to be aware of how queries are optimized and evaluated for best results.

## *Summary (Contd.)*

- ❖ NULL for unknown field values brings many complications
- ❖ SQL allows specification of rich integrity constraints
- ❖ Triggers respond to changes in the database