# Towards a Constructive Version of Banaszczyk's Vector Balancing Theorem 

Daniel Dadush ${ }^{1}$ Shashwat Garg ${ }^{2}$ Shachar Lovett ${ }^{3}$<br>Sasho Nikolov ${ }^{4}$<br>${ }^{1} \mathrm{CWI}$<br>${ }^{2}$ TU Eindhoven<br>${ }^{3}$ UCSD<br>${ }^{4} \mathrm{U}$ of Toronto

## Discrepancy of Set Systems

Given: System of $m$ subsets $\mathcal{S}=\left\{S_{1}, \ldots, S_{m}\right\}$ of $[n]=\{1, /$ dots, $n\}$. Color each element of $P$ red or blue, so that each set is as balanced as possible.


Discrepancy of a coloring: maximum imbalance (above: 1). Discrepancy of $\mathcal{S}$ : discrepancy of the best coloring.

$$
\operatorname{disc} \mathcal{S}:=\min _{\chi:[n] \rightarrow\{-1,1\}} \max _{i}\left|\sum_{j \in S_{i}} \chi(j)\right|
$$
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## Beck-Fiala

Theorem ([Beck and Fiala, 1981])
Suppose each $i \in[n]$ appears in at most $t$ sets of $\mathcal{S}$. Then $\operatorname{disc} \mathcal{S} \leq 2 t-1$.
Beck-Fiala Conjecture. disc $\mathcal{S}=O(\sqrt{t})$.
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Suppose each $i \in[n]$ appears in at most $t$ sets of $\mathcal{S}$. Then disc $\mathcal{S} \leq 2 t-1$.
Beck-Fiala Conjecture. disc $\mathcal{S}=O(\sqrt{t})$.

- Recently improved to $2 t-\log ^{*} t$ [Bukh, 2013]
- No better bound known in terms of $t$ only!
- The proof of the theorem is an (efficient) algorithm!


## Komlòs Conjecture

Komlòs Conjecture. For any vectors $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ with $\max _{i}\left\|u_{i}\right\|_{2} \leq 1$, there exist signs $\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n}$ for which

$$
\left\|\sum_{i} \varepsilon_{i} u_{i}\right\|_{\infty}=O(1)
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- $O(1)$ is independent of $m$ and $n$.
- Implies the Beck-Fiala Conjecture: Take $u_{j}$ to be the $j$-th column of the incidence matrix of $\mathcal{S}$, scaled by $t^{-1 / 2}$.
- $j$-th column of incidence matrix: indicator vector of $\left\{i: j \in S_{i}\right\}$.
- $\sqrt{t}\left\|\sum_{j} \varepsilon_{j} u_{j}\right\|_{\infty}$ is the discrepancy of the coloring $\chi(j)=\varepsilon_{j}$.
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Let $X$ be a standard m-dimensional Gaussian, and let $K$ be a convex body in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ such that $\operatorname{Pr}[X \in K] \geq 1 / 2$.
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- The proof is not an efficient algorithm!
- By taking $K=O(\sqrt{\log m}) \cdot[-1,1]^{m}$, we get a bound of $O(\sqrt{\log m})$ for Komlòs and $O(\sqrt{t \log m})$ for Beck-Fiala.
- Recent algorithmic proof of these bounds, but not the full theorem, in [Bansal, Dadush, and Garg, 2016].
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## Theorem ([Banaszczyk, 1998])
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- The proof is not an efficient algorithm!
- By taking $K=O(\sqrt{\log m}) \cdot[-1,1]^{m}$, we get a bound of $O(\sqrt{\log m})$ for Komlòs and $O(\sqrt{t \log m})$ for Beck-Fiala.
- Recent algorithmic proof of these bounds, but not the full theorem, in [Bansal, Dadush, and Garg, 2016].
- Also used in approximation algorithm for hereditary discrepancy, bounds on discrepancy of boxes, vector-rearrangement problems.
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$$
\operatorname{Pr}[|X| \geq t] \leq 2 \exp \left(-\frac{t^{2}}{2 s^{2}}\right)
$$

## Interlude: Subgaussian Random Variables

## Definition

A real-valued random variable $X$ is $s$-subgaussian if

$$
\operatorname{Pr}[|X| \geq t] \leq 2 \exp \left(-\frac{t^{2}}{2 s^{2}}\right)
$$

A random variable $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ is s-subgaussian if for every unit vector $\theta \in \mathbb{S}^{m-1}$ the marginal $\langle\theta, Y\rangle$ is $s$-subgaussian.

## Interlude: Subgaussian Random Variables

## Definition

A real-valued random variable $X$ is $s$-subgaussian if

$$
\operatorname{Pr}[|X| \geq t] \leq 2 \exp \left(-\frac{t^{2}}{2 s^{2}}\right) .
$$

A random variable $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ is $s$-subgaussian if for every unit vector $\theta \in \mathbb{S}^{m-1}$ the marginal $\langle\theta, Y\rangle$ is $s$-subgaussian.
I.e., an s-subgaussian random variable shrinks about as fast as a Gaussian with variance $s^{2}$ in every direction.

## The Main Equivalence

## Theorem

Let $T=\left\{\sum_{i} \pm u_{i}\right\}$ where the vectors $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}$ satisfy $\max _{i}\left\|u_{i}\right\|_{2} \leq 1 / 5$.
The following two are equivalent:
(1) Banaszczyk's theorem restricted to convex bodies $K$ symmetric around 0.
(2) There exists an $O(1)$-subgaussian $Y$ supported on $T$, where $O(1)$ is independent of $m, n$, or the vectors.
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- 2. was not known before, and we know no direct proof.
- If we can sample $Y$ efficiently, we would have an algorithmic version of Banaszczyk's theorem!
- Using a random walk, we can sample an $O(\sqrt{\log m})$-subgaussian $Y$ : recovers Banaszczyk algorithmically for symmetric $K$, up to a factor of $O(\sqrt{\log m})$.

2. $\Rightarrow 1$.

Theorem
Let $X$ be a standard Gaussian in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$, and $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}$ be a symmetric convex body such that $\operatorname{Pr}[X \in K] \geq 1 / 2$. Then, for any $s$-subgaussian $Y$,

$$
\operatorname{Pr}[Y \in O(s) \cdot K] \geq 1 / 2 .
$$

- Universal sampler: there is a single distribution on $\sum_{i} \pm u_{i}$ which works for all $K$.
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## Proof of Theorem



Need: $\mathbb{E}\|Y\|_{K}=O(s)$. Then done by Markov.
i. [Borell, 1975] For any symmetric convex body $K$, and a standard Gaussian $X, \operatorname{Pr}[X \in K] \geq 1 / 2 \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}\|X\|_{K}=O(1)$.
ii. [Talagrand, 1987] For any s-subgaussian $Y$, and any symmetric convex body $K, \mathbb{E}\|Y\|_{K}=O(s) \cdot \mathbb{E}\|X\|_{K}$.

From i. and ii., we get $\mathbb{E}\|Y\|_{K}=O(s)$.
$1 . \Rightarrow 2$.

Define a zero-sum game:

- Min has strategies $T=\left\{\sum_{i} \pm u_{i}\right\}$.
- Max player has strategies $\left\{v \in \mathbb{R}^{m}\right\}$.
- The payoff of $y \in T$ and $v \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ is $\left(e^{\langle y, v\rangle}+e^{-\langle y, v\rangle}\right) / e^{\|v\|_{2}^{2} / 2}$.
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- The payoff of $y \in T$ and $v \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ is $\left(e^{\langle y, v\rangle}+e^{-\langle y, v\rangle}\right) / e^{\|v\|_{2}^{2} / 2}$.

Using Banaszczyk's theoremm, and the von Neumann min-max principle, we can bound the value of the game:

$$
\min _{Y \text { r.v. supp. on } T} \max _{v \in \mathbb{R}^{m}} \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{e^{\langle Y, v\rangle}+e^{-\langle Y, v\rangle}}{e^{\|v\|_{2}^{2} / 2}}\right] \leq 2 .
$$

Implies $\mathbb{E}\left[e^{|\langle Y, v\rangle|}\right] \leq 2 e^{\|v\|_{2}^{2} / 2}$. By Chernoff trick, $Y$ is $O(1)$-subgaussian.
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## Asymmetric Bodies

Does an efficient sampler for $O(1)$-subgaussian $Y$ imply algorithmic Banaszczyk for asymmetric K?

Bad News: Take $K=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{m}: x_{1} \leq 0\right\}$ and $Y=e_{1}$. Then:

- $Y$ is $O(1)$-subgaussian
- $\operatorname{Pr}[X \in K]=1 / 2$ for standard Gaussian $X$.
- For any $t>0, Y \notin t K=K$.

Good news: If $K$ 's barycenter $b(K)=\mathbb{E}[X \cdot 1\{X \in K\}]$ is at the origin, then $\operatorname{Pr}[Y \in O(1) \cdot(K \cap-K)] \geq 1 / 2$.

## Asymmetric Bodies

Does an efficient sampler for $O(1)$-subgaussian $Y$ imply algorithmic Banaszczyk for asymmetric K?

Bad News: Take $K=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{m}: x_{1} \leq 0\right\}$ and $Y=e_{1}$. Then:

- $Y$ is $O(1)$-subgaussian
- $\operatorname{Pr}[X \in K]=1 / 2$ for standard Gaussian $X$.
- For any $t>0, Y \notin t K=K$.

Good news: If $K$ 's barycenter $b(K)=\mathbb{E}[X \cdot 1\{X \in K\}]$ is at the origin, then $\operatorname{Pr}[Y \in O(1) \cdot(K \cap-K)] \geq 1 / 2$.

We design a recentering procedure that

- Either finds signs $\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n}$ such that $\sum_{i} \varepsilon_{i} u_{u} \in K$,
- Or reduces to the case when $b(K)=0$.


## Open Problems

- Find a direct proof that there exists an $O(1)$-subgaussian $Y$ supported on $\left\{\sum_{i} \pm u_{i}\right\}$.
- Find an efficient algorithm to sample $Y$.


## Thank you!
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