

CSC2412: Properties of Differential Privacy & More Mechanisms

Sasho Nikolov

Review

Data model

Data set: (multi-)set X of n data points $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$.

- each data point (or row) x_i is the data of *one person*
- each data point comes from a *universe* \mathcal{X}

e.g. $\mathcal{X} = \{0, 1\}^d$

We call two data sets X and X' *neighbouring* if

1. (*variable* n) we can get X' from X by adding or removing an element
2. (*fixed* n) we can get X' from X by replacing an element with another

$X \sim X' \iff X, X'$ neighbouring

→ we will mostly use this

Definition

A mechanism \mathcal{M} is ε -differentially private if, for any two neighbouring datasets X, X' , and any set of outputs $S \subseteq \text{Range}(\mathcal{M})$

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{M}(X) \in S) \leq e^\varepsilon \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{M}(X') \in S).$$

Basic Properties

Composition motivation

It would be nice if we can:

- Post-process outputs of DP algorithms without losing privacy.

E.g. average $\frac{(e^\epsilon + 1)y_i - 1}{e^\epsilon - 1}$ for the output (y_1, \dots, y_n) of RR

- Build complex DP algorithms from simple ones.

E.g. use RR to answer many counting queries

- Allow an analyst to adaptively choose queries to ask

E.g. "smokers?" $\xrightarrow{2.25\%}$ "smokers are under 25 yrs old?"
 $\downarrow <25\%$
...

Composition theorem

Suppose

- $\mathcal{M}_1(\cdot)$ is ε_1 -DP

\mathcal{M}_1 takes X

- $\mathcal{M}_2(\cdot, y)$ is ε_2 -DP for any y in the range of \mathcal{M}_1

\mathcal{M}_2 takes X and the output of \mathcal{M}_1

Then $\mathcal{M}(\cdot)$ given by $\mathcal{M}(X) = \mathcal{M}_2(X, \mathcal{M}_1(X))$ is $(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2)$ -DP.

Epsilons add up

Post-processing

$\mathcal{M}_3(\cdot, z)$ ε_3 -DP $\forall z \in \text{Range}(\mathcal{M}_2)$

$\mathcal{M}_3(X, \mathcal{M}_2(X, \mathcal{M}_1(X)))$ is $(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3)$ -DP

and so on...

If \mathcal{M}_2 is 0-DP
ie. \mathcal{M}_2 is only a function of the output of \mathcal{M}_1
then $\mathcal{M}_2(\mathcal{M}_1(X))$ is ε_1 -DP

Proof of the composition theorem

Take some $X \sim X'$ | To prove: $\mu(X) = \mu_2(X, \mu_1(X))$
 $S \in \text{Range}(\mu_2)$ | is (ϵ_1, ϵ_2) -DP

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\mu(X) \in S) &= \sum_{y \in \text{Range}(\mu_1)} \mathbb{P}(\mu_2(X, y) \in S) \cdot \mathbb{P}(\mu_1(X) = y) \\ &\leq \sum_{y \in \text{Range}(\mu_1)} e^{\epsilon_2} \mathbb{P}(\mu_2(X', y) \in S) \cdot e^{\epsilon_1} \mathbb{P}(\mu_1(X') = y) \\ &= e^{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2} \sum_{y \in \text{Range}(\mu_1)} \mathbb{P}(\mu_2(X', y) \in S) \cdot \mathbb{P}(\mu_1(X') = y) \\ &= e^{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2} \cdot \mathbb{P}(\mu(X') \in S) \end{aligned}$$

What protection is offered to small groups rather than individuals?

- E.g., what can an adversary find out about my immediate family?

$$X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_j, \dots, x_n\} \rightarrow \text{2-neighbouring}$$
$$X' = \{x_1, \dots, x'_i, \dots, x'_j, \dots, x_n\}$$

Definition

Two data sets X, X' are t -neighbours if they differ in the data of $\leq t$ individuals.

For any ϵ -DP mechanism \mathcal{M} , any t -neighbours X, X' , and any set S of outputs

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{M}(X) \in S) \leq e^{t\epsilon} \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{M}(X') \in S).$$

Proof of group privacy property

X, X' t -neighbouring $\Rightarrow \exists X^0 = X, X^1, X^2, \dots, X^t = X'$
 $X^0 \sim X^1, X^1 \sim X^2, \dots, X^{t-1} \sim X^t$

E.g. $= X^0$

$$X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_j, \dots, x_n\}$$

$$X' = \{x_1, \dots, x'_i, \dots, x'_j, \dots, x_n\}$$

$\stackrel{\text{}}{=} X^2$

$$X^1 = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x'_i, \dots, x_j, \dots, x_n\}$$

$\forall S$ set of outputs

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{M}(X) \in S) &\leq e^\epsilon \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{M}(X^1) \in S) \leq e^{2\epsilon} \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{M}(X^2) \in S) \\ &\dots \leq e^{t\epsilon} \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{M}(X^t) \in S) \end{aligned}$$